

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

FILED
CLERK

2012 OCT -3 PM 2:08

MICHAEL AMOROSO,

U.S. DISTRICT COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT
OF NEW YORK

COMPLAINT

CV 12
Docket No.

4939

-against-

Jury Trial Demanded

CITY OF NEW YORK, MICHAEL LOPRESTI, Individually,
MICHAEL FRIED, Individually, DAVID LIPPERT, Individually,
and JOHN and JANE DOE 1 through 10, Individually (the names
John and Jane Doe being fictitious, as the true names are presently
unknown),

WEINSTEIN, J.

Defendants.

MANN, M.J.

-----X
Plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO, by his attorneys, Leventhal & Klein, LLP, complaining
of the defendants, respectfully alleges as follows:

Preliminary Statement

1. Plaintiff brings this action for compensatory damages, punitive damages and attorneys' fees pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§1983 and 1988 for violations of his civil rights, as said rights are secured by said statutes and the Constitution of the United States. Plaintiff also asserts supplemental state law claims.

JURISDICTION

2. This action is brought pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §§ 1983 and 1988, and the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the United States Constitution.

3. Jurisdiction is found upon 28 U.S.C. §§1331, 1343 and 1367.

VENUE

4. Venue is properly laid in the Eastern District of New York under 28 U.S.C. §

1391(b), in that this is the District in which the claim arose.

JURY DEMAND

5. Plaintiff respectfully demands a trial by jury of all issues in this matter pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 38 (b).

PARTIES

6. Plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO is a twenty-eight year old man residing in Staten Island, New York.

7. Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK was and is a municipal corporation duly organized and existing under and by virtue of the laws of the State of New York.

8. Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK maintains the New York City Police Department (hereinafter referred to as "NYPD"), a duly authorized public authority and/or police department, authorized to perform all functions of a police department as per the applicable sections of the aforementioned municipal corporation, CITY OF NEW YORK.

9. That at all times hereinafter mentioned, the individually named defendants, MICHAEL LOPRESTI, MICHAEL FRIED, DAVID LIPPERT, and JOHN and JANE DOE 1 through 10, were duly sworn police officers of said department and were acting under the supervision of said department and according to their official duties.

10. That at all times hereinafter mentioned the defendants, either personally or through their employees, were acting under color of state law and/or in compliance with the official rules, regulations, laws, statutes, customs, usages and/or practices of the State of New York and/or the City of New York.

11. Each and all of the acts of the defendants alleged herein were done by said defendants while acting within the scope of their employment by defendant CITY OF NEW

YORK.

FACTS

12. On July 9, 2011, at approximately 6:00 p.m., plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO was operating his motor vehicle in the vicinity of Margaret Street and Hardy Place, Staten Island, New York.

13. At the above mentioned time and place, the defendant officers unlawfully stopped said vehicle and ordered plaintiff to exit the vehicle.

14. When plaintiff exited said vehicle, the defendants unlawfully searched plaintiff and plaintiff's vehicle.

15. The defendants placed over tight handcuffs on plaintiff's wrists and arrested plaintiff without probable.

16. Although plaintiff had committed no crime or offense, the defendant officers imprisoned plaintiff handcuffed in a police vehicle for approximately two hours and then transported plaintiff to the 122nd precinct stationhouse

17. During this time, the defendant officers refused to loosen plaintiff's handcuffs, despite plaintiff's repeated requests.

18. The defendant officers imprisoned plaintiff in the 122nd Precinct stationhouse until approximately 12:30 a.m. on July 10, 2011, when they released plaintiff with a desk appearance ticket compelling his appearance in Richmond County Criminal Court on August 12, 2011.

19. As result of the filing of false, sworn allegations, plaintiff was compelled to appear in Court on August 12, 2011, and on October 11, 2011, on which date all the charges levied against him were dismissed and sealed in Richmond County Criminal Court.

20. The defendant NYPD officers MICHAEL LOPRESTI, MICHAEL FRIED, DAVID LIPPERT, and JOHN and JANE DOE 1 through 10 either participated in or failed to intervene in the illegal conduct described herein.

21. Defendant DAVID LIPPERT supervised defendants MICHAEL LOPRESTI, MICHAEL FRIED, and JOHN and JANE DOE 1 through 10 and approved of, oversaw, and otherwise presided over the arrest and prosecution of the plaintiff

22. All of the above occurred as a direct result of the unconstitutional policies, customs or practices of the City of New York, including, without limitation, the inadequate screening, hiring, retaining, training and supervising its employees, and pursuant to a policy of falsification.

23. The aforesaid event is not an isolated incident. Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK is aware (from lawsuits, notices of claims, and complaints filed with the NYPD's Internal Affairs Bureau, and the CITY OF NEW YORK'S Civilian Complaint Review Board) that many NYPD officers, including the defendants, are insufficiently trained regarding: the basis for arrests and prosecutions, and engage in a practice of falsification.

24. Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK is further aware that such improper training has often resulted in a deprivation of civil rights. Despite such notice, defendant CITY OF NEW YORK has failed to take corrective action. This failure caused the officers in the present case to violate the plaintiff's civil rights.

25. Moreover, upon information and belief, defendant CITY OF NEW YORK was aware, prior to the incident, that the individual defendants lacked the objectivity, temperament, maturity, discretion, and disposition to be employed as police officers. Despite such notice, defendant CITY OF NEW YORK has retained these officers, and failed to adequately train and

supervise them.

26. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO sustained, *inter alia*, physical pain and suffering, emotional distress, embarrassment, and humiliation, and deprivation of his constitutional rights.

Federal Claims

AS AND FOR A FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION
(Deprivation of Rights Under 42 U.S.C. § 1983)

27. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs "1" through "26" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

28. All of the aforementioned acts of defendants, their agents, servants and employees were carried out under the color of state law.

29. All of the aforementioned acts deprived plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO of the rights, privileges and immunities guaranteed to citizens of the United States by the Fourth and Fourteenth Amendments to the Constitution of the United States of America, and in violation of 42 U.S.C. §1983.

30. The acts complained of were carried out by the aforementioned individual defendants in their capacities as police officers, with the entire actual and/or apparent authority attendant thereto.

31. The acts complained of were carried out by the aforementioned individual defendants in their capacities as police officers, pursuant to the customs, usages, practices, procedures, and the rules of the CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police Department, all under the supervision of ranking officers of said department.

32. Defendants, collectively and individually, while acting under color of state law,

engaged in conduct that constituted a custom, usage, practice, procedure or rule of the respective municipality/authority, which is forbidden by the Constitution of the United States.

33. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

AS AND FOR A SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION
(False Arrest/Unlawful Imprisonment under 42 U.S.C. § 1983)

34. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "33" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

35. Defendants arrested plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO without probable cause, causing him to be detained against his will for an extended period of time and subjected to physical restraints.

36. Defendants caused plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO to be falsely arrested and unlawfully imprisoned.

37. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

AS AND FOR A THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION
(Malicious Prosecution under 42 U.S.C. § 1983)

38. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "37" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

39. Defendants initiated, commenced and continued a malicious prosecution against

plaintiff by providing false and/or misleading information to the Richmond County District Attorneys' office.

40. The aforesaid prosecution terminated in favor of plaintiff when it was dismissed on or about October 11, 2011.

41. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

AS AND FOR A FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION
(Malicious Abuse of Process under 42 U.S.C. § 1983)

42. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "41" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

43. Defendants issued criminal process against plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO by causing him to be arraigned and prosecuted for violation of the Penal Law.

44. Defendants caused plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO to be prosecuted in order to obtain a collateral objective outside the legitimate ends of the legal process, to wit: to cover up their abuse of authority and thereby violated plaintiff's right to be free from malicious abuse of process.

45. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

AS AND FOR A FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
(Failure to Intervene under 42 U.S.C. § 1983)

46. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "45" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

47. Defendants had an affirmative duty to intervene on behalf of plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO, whose constitutional rights were being violated in their presence by other officers.

48. The defendants failed to intervene to prevent the unlawful conduct described herein.

49. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO'S liberty was restricted for an extended period of time, he was put in fear of his safety, and he was humiliated and subjected to handcuffing and other physical restraints.

50. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

AS AND FOR A SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION
(Deprivation of Substantive Due Process under 42 U.S.C. § 1983)

51. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "50" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

52. The defendants conduct herein was an abuse of executive power so clearly unjustified by any legitimate objective of law enforcement as to be barred by the Fourteenth Amendment.

53. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO was deprived of

their liberty and right to substantive due process, causing emotional and physical injuries.

54. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
(Supervisory Liability under 42 U.S.C. § 1983)

55. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "54" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

56. The supervisory defendants personally caused plaintiff's constitutional injury by being deliberately or consciously indifferent to the rights of others in failing to properly supervise and train their subordinate employees.

57. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

AS AND FOR A EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION
(Municipal Liability under 42 U.S.C. § 1983)

58. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "57" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

59. Defendants, collectively and individually, while acting under color of state law, engaged in conduct that constituted a custom, usage, practice, procedure or rule of the respective municipality/authority, which is forbidden by the Constitution of the United States.

60. The aforementioned customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of

the New York City Police Department included, but were not limited to, inadequate screening, hiring, retaining, training and supervising its employees that was the moving force behind the violation of plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO'S rights as described herein, as well as a policy of falsification and making arrests for collateral objectives outside the ends of justice, including, without limitation, for overtime compensation. As a result of the failure of the CITY OF NEW YORK to properly recruit, screen, train, discipline, and supervise its officers, including the individual defendants, defendant CITY OF NEW YORK has tacitly authorized, ratified, and has been deliberately indifferent to, the acts and conduct complained of herein.

61. The foregoing customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of the CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police Department constituted deliberate indifference to the safety, well-being and constitutional rights of plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO.

62. The foregoing customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of the CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police Department were the direct and proximate cause of the constitutional violations suffered by plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO as alleged herein.

63. The foregoing customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of the CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police Department were the moving force behind the Constitutional violations suffered by plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO as alleged herein.

64. As a result of the foregoing customs, policies, usages, practices, procedures and rules of the CITY OF NEW YORK and the New York City Police Department, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO was unlawfully arrested and maliciously prosecuted.

65. Defendants, collectively and individually, while acting under color of state law, were directly and actively involved in violating plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO'S constitutional rights.

66. All of the foregoing acts by defendants deprived plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO of federally protected rights, including, but not limited to, the right:

- A. Not to be deprived of liberty without due process of law;
- B. To be free from false arrest/unlawful imprisonment;
- C. To be free from malicious prosecution; and
- D. To be free from the failure to intervene.

67. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

Supplemental State Law Claims

68. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "67" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

69. Within ninety (90) days after the claim herein accrued, plaintiff duly served upon, presented to and filed with the CITY OF NEW YORK, a Notice of Claim setting forth all facts and information required under the General Municipal Law 50-e.

70. The CITY OF NEW YORK has wholly neglected or refused to make an adjustment or payment thereof and more than thirty (30) days have elapsed since the presentation of such claim as aforesaid.

71. This action was commenced within one (1) year and ninety (90) days after the

cause of action herein accrued.

72. Plaintiff has complied with all conditions precedent to maintaining the instant action.

73. This action falls within one or more of the exceptions as outlined in C.P.L.R. 1602.

AS AND FOR A NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION
(False Arrest under the laws of the State of New York)

74. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "73" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

75. Defendants arrested plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO without probable cause.

76. Plaintiff was detained against his will for an extended period of time and subjected to physical restraints.

77. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO was unlawfully imprisoned in violation of the laws of the State of New York.

78. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO suffered physical and mental injury, together with embarrassment, humiliation, shock, fright, and loss of freedom.

79. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

AS AND FOR AN TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
(Battery under the laws of the State of New York)

80. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in

paragraphs numbered "1" through "79" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

81. Defendants made offensive contact with plaintiff without privilege or consent.

82. As a result of defendant's conduct, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO has suffered physical pain and mental anguish, together with shock, fright, apprehension, embarrassment, and humiliation.

83. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

AS AND FOR AN ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
(Malicious Prosecution under laws of the State of New York)

84. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "83" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

85. Defendants initiated, commenced, and continued criminal proceedings against plaintiffs by falsely reporting the events of July 9, 2011 to the Richmond County District Attorneys' Office.

86. Defendants caused plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO to be prosecuted on criminal charges without any probable cause until the charges were dismissed on or about October 11, 2011.

87. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO suffered emotional distress, physical and mental injury, together with embarrassment, humiliation, shock, fright, and loss of freedom.

88. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO is entitled to

compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

AS AND FOR A TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION
(Malicious Abuse of Process under laws of the State of New York)

89. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "88" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

90. Defendants issued criminal process against plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO by causing him to be arrested, arraigned and prosecuted in criminal court.

91. Defendants caused plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO to be prosecuted in order to obtain a collateral objective outside the legitimate ends of the legal process, to wit: to cover up their abuse of authority.

92. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

AS AND FOR AN THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
(Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress under the laws of the State of New York)

93. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "92" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

94. The aforementioned conduct was extreme and outrageous, and exceeded all reasonable bounds of decency.

95. The aforementioned conduct was committed by defendants while acting within the scope of their employment by defendant CITY OF NEW YORK.

96. The aforementioned conduct was committed by defendants while acting in furtherance of their employment by defendant CITY OF NEW YORK.

97. The aforementioned conduct was intentional and for the sole purpose of causing severe emotional distress to plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO.

98. As a result of the aforementioned conduct, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO suffered emotional distress, physical and mental injury, together with embarrassment, humiliation, shock, fright, and loss of freedom.

99. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

AS AND FOR A FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
(Negligent Screening, Hiring, and Retention under the laws of the State of New York)

100. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraph numbered "1" through "99" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

101. Upon information and belief, defendant CITY OF NEW YORK failed to use reasonable care in the screening, hiring and retention of the aforesaid defendants who conducted and participated in the arrest of plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO.

102. Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK knew, or should have know in the exercise of reasonable care, the propensities of the individual defendants to engage in the wrongful conduct heretofore alleged in this Complaint.

103. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive

damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

AS AND FOR AN FIFTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
(Negligent Training and Supervision under the laws of the State of New York)

104. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "103" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

105. Upon information and belief the defendant CITY OF NEW YORK failed to use reasonable care in the training and supervision of the aforesaid defendants who conducted and participated in the arrest of plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO.

106. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

AS AND FOR A SIXTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
(Negligence under the laws of the State of New York)

107. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "106" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

108. Plaintiff's injuries herein were caused by the carelessness, recklessness and negligence of the defendant CITY OF NEW YORK and its employees and agents, who were on duty and acting in the scope of their employment when they engaged in the wrongful conduct described herein.

109. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO is entitled to

compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

AS AND FOR A SEVENTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
(Respondeat Superior liability under the laws of the State of New York)

110. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "109" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

111. Defendant CITY OF NEW YORK is vicariously liable for the acts of its employees and agents who were on duty and acting in the scope of their employment when they engaged in the wrongful conduct described herein.

112. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO is entitled to compensatory damages in amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

AS AND FOR AN EIGHTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION
(Violation of N.Y.S. Constitution Article 1 §12)

113. Plaintiff repeats, reiterates, and realleges each and every allegation contained in paragraphs numbered "1" through "112" with the same force and effect as if fully set forth herein.

114. As a result of defendants' conduct, plaintiff was deprived of his right to security against unreasonable searches, seizures, and interceptions.

115. As a result of the foregoing, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO is entitled to compensatory damages in an amount to be fixed by a jury, and is further entitled to punitive

damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be fixed by a jury, plus reasonable attorneys' fees, costs and disbursements of this action.

WHEREFORE, plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO demands judgment and prays for the following relief, jointly and severally, against the defendants:

- (A) full and fair compensatory damages in an amount to be determined by a jury;
- (B) punitive damages against the individual defendants in an amount to be determined by a jury;
- (C) reasonable attorneys' fees and the costs and disbursements of this action; and
- (D) such other and further relief as appears just and proper.

Dated: Brooklyn, New York
October 2, 2012

LEVENTHAL & KLEIN, LLP
Attorneys for Plaintiff MICHAEL AMOROSO
45 Main Street, Suite 230
Brooklyn, New York 11201
(718) 722-4100

By:


BRETT H. KLEIN (BK4744)